

CORRELATED BROWNIAN INTERFACE AND TRANSLATIONAL DYNAMICS SIMULATION FOR NUCLEAR SPIN RELAXATION IN FLUID MEMBRANE PHASES

P. Kåkansson^a, T. Boirin^b and J. Vaara^a

a) NMR Research Unit, P.O. Box 3000, FIN-90014, University of Oulu, Finland

b) Institut Polytechnique de Bordeaux (Bordeaux INP) – CS 60099, 33405, Talence Cedex pour l'ENSEIRB-MATMECA, France

email: nils.hakansson@oulu.fi

Lyotropic phases display complex dynamics involving thermally excited interface modes, with collective molecular motion of lipids and solvents, in addition to the dynamics at the individual molecule level (molecular rotation, vibrations etc.). Understanding these processes provides insight in how fundamental interactions (electrostatic and van der Waals) lead to colloidal interactions such as repulsive entropic forces[1]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) lineshape and relaxation studies are outstanding techniques to detect biologically relevant slow timescales[2].

Theoretical explanation of NMR relaxation rates may be based on phenomenological assumptions[3], continuum description of solvent-lipid interface (leading to analytical models[4]), Brownian translational dynamics (BTD) on curved interfaces[5] and atomistic molecular dynamics (MD)[6]. The MD simulation is attractive in its detail and has been shown to be promising in describing the structural properties of solvent D₂O[6]. However, MD does not explain the T₂⁻¹ rate, has a too narrow linewidth and is able to straightforwardly *only* provide field-independent relaxation rates (not observed in experiments). The analytical models[4] may have assumptions of uncorrelated processes, not necessarily always valid.

In this work we present a mesoscopic Brownian dynamics simulation procedure level that mimics a lamellar, on average flat, bilayer with thermally induced interface undulations, combined with a spin system undergoing correlated reorientation due to BTD on the 2D interface. This enables sufficient spatial (200 nm) and time span (μs-ms) trajectories. We show that this procedure, combined with the D₂O structure and fast dynamics from the MD simulation[6] gives encouraging agreement with experimental relaxation rates and provides a framework that may be further generalized to interacting membranes and provide detailed water structure via computed spin interaction tensors.

[1] J. N. Israelachvili and H. Wennerström, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 520–531.

[2] B. Halle, *Amphiphilic Liquid Crystalline Samples: Nuclear Spin Relaxation*, [John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2007](#).

[3] R. Blinc, M. Luzar, M. Vilfan and M. Burgar, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1975, **63**, 3445.

[4] B. Halle and S. Gustafsson, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1997, **56**, 690–707.

[5] P. Håkansson, L. Persson and P.-O. Westlund, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **117**, 8634.

[6] K. Åman, E. Lindahl, O. Edholm, P. Håkansson and P.-O. Westlund, *Biophys. J.*, 2003, **84**, 102–115.